| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/644,900 | DUNCAN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Maikhanh Nguyen | 2176 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>now allowed.</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Maikhanh Nguyen</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Kenneth R. Eiferman (Reg. No. 51,647). | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>11 December 2008</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: 1-4, 6, 9-10, 34-37, 39,42-43,45-49, and 66-70. | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant authorized the Examiner to amend the claim as presented in the Examiner's amendment. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand | ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| /Maikhanh Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2176 | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |